arXiv:1701.02695v1 [math.DS] 10 Jan 2017

CLASSIFICATION OF THE SECOND MINIMAL ODD PERIODIC ORBITS IN THE SHARKOVSKII ORDERING

UGUR G. ABDULLA, RASHAD U. ABDULLA, MUHAMMAD U. ABDULLA, AND NAVEED H. IQBAL

Abstract. This paper presents full classification of second minimal odd periodic orbits of a continuous endomorphisms on the real line. A $(2k+1)$-periodic orbit $(k \geq 3)$ is called second minimal for the map f, if $2k-1$ is a minimal period of f in the Sharkovskii ordering. We prove that there are $4k-3$ types of second minimal $(2k+1)$-orbits, each characterized with unique cyclic permutation and directed graph of transitions with accuracy up to inverses.

1. Introduction and Main Result

Let $f : I \to I$ be a continuous endomorphism, and I be a non-degenerate interval on the real line. Let $f^n : I \to I$ be an n-th iteration of f. A point $c \in I$ is called a periodic point of f with period m if $f^m(c) = c$, $f^k(c) \neq c$ for $1 \leq k < m$. The set of m distinct points

$$c, f(c), \cdots, f^{m-1}(c)$$

is called the orbit of c, or briefly m-orbit or periodic m-cycle. In his celebrated paper [7], Sharkovskii discovered a law on the coexistence of periodic orbits of continuous endomorphisms on the real line.

Theorem 1.1 (Sharkovski)**.** [7] *Let the positive integers be totally ordered in the following way:*

$$1 \triangleleft 2 \triangleleft 2^2 \triangleleft 2^3 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft 2^2 \cdot 5 \triangleleft 2^2 \cdot 3 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft 2 \cdot 5 \triangleleft 2 \cdot 3 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft 9 \triangleleft 7 \triangleleft 5 \triangleleft 3. \tag{1}$$

If a continuous endomorphism, $f : I \to I$, has a cycle of period n and $m \triangleleft n$, then f also has a periodic orbit of period m.

This result played a fundamental role in the development of the theory of discrete dynamical systems. A conceptually novel proof was given in [6]. Following the standard approach, we characterize each periodic orbit with cyclic permutations and directed graphs of transitions or *digraphs*. Consider the m-orbit:

$$\mathbf{B} = \{\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \cdots < \beta_m\}$$

Department of Mathematics, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL 32901.

Definition 1.2. If $f(\beta_i) = \beta_{s_i}$ for $1 \le s_i \le m$, with $i = 1, 2, ..., m$, then **B** is associated with cyclic permutation

$$\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & m \\ s_1 & s_2 & \dots & s_m \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition 1.3. Let ω be the order reversing permutation

$$\omega = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & m-1 & m \\ m & m-1 & \dots & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, given a cyclic permutation π, it's inverse is obtained as $\pi^{-1} = \omega \circ \pi \circ \omega$.

In the sequel $< a, b >$ means either $[a, b]$ or $[b, a]$.

Definition 1.4. Let $J_i = [\beta_i, \beta_{i+1}]$. The digraph of m-orbit is a directed graph of transitions with vertices $J_1, J_2, \cdots, J_{m-1}$ and oriented edges $J_i \to J_s$ if $J_s \subset < f(\beta_i), f(\beta_{i+1}) >$.

Definition 1.5. The inverse digraph of m-orbit is a digraph associated with inverse cyclic permutation π^{-1}. Equivalently, inverse digraph is obtained from the digraph of m-orbit by replacing each J_i with J_{m-i}.

Definition 1.6. A continuous function $P_f : [\beta_1, \beta_m] \to [\beta_1, \beta_m]$ is called the P-linearization of f if $P_f(\beta_i) = f(\beta_i)$ and P is a linear function in each interval J_i

Definition 1.7. The arrangement of the minimums and maximums of the map P_f in the open interval (β_1, β_m) will be called the topological structure of the periodic orbit.

The proof of Sharkovskii's theorem significantly uses the concept of *minimal orbit*.

Definition 1.8. n-orbit of f is called minimal if n is the minimal period of f in Sharkovski's ordering.

Definition 1.9. Digraph of the m-orbit contains the red edge $J_i \to J_s$ if $J_s =< f(\beta_i), f(\beta_{i+1}) >$.

The structure of the minimal orbits is well understood [8, 3, 5, 4, 1]. Minimal odd orbits are called Stefan orbits, due to the following characterization:

Theorem 1.10 (Stefan)**.** [8, 4] *The digraph of a $m = 2k+1$ minimal odd orbit has the unique structure given in fig. 1 and cyclic permutation 2 up to an inverse.*

$$(2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & k & k+1 & k+2 & k+3 & \cdots & 2k & 2k+1 \\ k+1 & 2k+1 & 2k & \cdots & k+3 & k+2 & k & k-1 & \cdots & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The main goal of this paper is the characterization of second minimal odd orbits.

Definition 1.11. A $(2k+1)$-orbit, $k \ge 3$ is called second minimal if $2k-1$ is the minimal period of f in the Sharkovskii ordering.



FIGURE 1. Digraph of Minimal Odd Orbit

Topological Structure	*Count*
max	1
min-max	1
min-max-min	1
max-min	2
max-min-max	$2k-3$
max-min-max-min-max	$2k-5$

TABLE 1. Topological Structure of all $4k-3$ second minimal $(2k+1)$-orbits

Let $B(i,j)$, $1 \le i \le j \le 2k+1$ be subsets of a $(2k+1)$-orbit defined as

$$B(i,j) = \{\beta_k \in B : i \le k \le j\} \tag{3}$$

Definition 1.12. A $(2k+1)$-orbit is called simple if either

(1) $B(k+2, 2k+1)$ is mapped to $B(1, k+1)$; and $B(1, k+1)$ is mapped to $B(k+2, 2k+1)$ except one point; or
(2) $B(1,k)$ is mapped to $B(k+1, 2k+1)$; and $B(k+1, 2k+1)$ is mapped to $B(1,k)$ except one point.

We say a simple $(2k+1)$-orbit is of type + (resp. type −) if (1) (resp. (2)) is satisfied.

Our main result reads:

Theorem 1.13. *Second minimal* $(2k+1)$*-orbits,* $k \ge 3$*, are simple. There are* $4k-3$ *possible types of second minimal* $(2k+1)$*-orbits of simple positive type, each with unique digraph and cyclic permutation. Their inverses represent all second minimal* $(2k+1)$*-orbits of simple negative type. The topological structure of all* $4k-3$ *types of second minimal* $(2k+1)$*-orbits is presented in table 1. The topological structure of their inverses is obtained by replacing "max" and "min" with each other respectively. The P-linearization of the* $4k-3$ *types (and their inverses) presents an example of a continuous map with a second minimal* $(2k+1)$*-orbit.*

Theorem 1.13 in the particular case $k = 3$ was proved in [2]. Second minimal orbits play important role in the problem on the distribution of periodic windows within the chaotic regime of the bifurcation diagram of the one-parameter family

of unimodal maps. It is revealed in [2] that the first appearance of all the orbits is always a minimal orbit, while the second appearance is a second minimal orbit.

The structure of the remainder of the paper is as follows: In Section 2, we recall some preliminary facts. Theorem 1.13 is proved in Section 3.

2. Preliminary Results

Lemma 2.1. *The digraph of an m-orbit,* $\mathbf{B} = \{\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \cdots < \beta_m\}$, $m > 2$, *possesses the following properties* [4]*:*

(1) *The digraph contains a loop:* $\exists r_*$ *such that* $J_{r_*} \to J_{r_*}$.
(2) $\forall r$, $\exists r'$ *and* r'' *such that* $J_{r'} \to J_r \to J_{r''}$*; moreover, it is always possible to choose* $r' \neq r$ *unless* m *is even and* $r = m/2$*, and it is always possible to choose* $r'' \neq r$ *unless* $m = 2$.
(3) *If* $[\beta', \beta''] \neq [\beta_1, \beta_m]$, $\beta', \beta'' \in \mathbf{B}$*, then* $\exists J_{r'} \subset [\beta', \beta'']$ *and* $\exists J_{r'} \not\subseteq [\beta', \beta'']$ *such that* $J_{r'} \to J_{r''}$.
(4) *The digraph of a cycle with period* $m > 2$ *contains a subgraph* $J_{r_*} \to \cdots J_r$ *for any* $1 \leq r \leq m-1$.

Definition 2.2. A cycle in a digraph is said to be primitive if it does not consist entirely of a cycle of smaller length described several times.

Lemma 2.3 (Straffin)**.** [9, 4] *If* f *has a periodic point of period* $n > 1$ *and its associated digraph contains a primitive cycle* $J_0 \to J_1 \to \cdots \to J_{m-1} \to J_0$ *of length* m*, then* f *has a periodic point* y *of period* m *such that* $f^k(y) \in J_k, (0 \leq k < m)$.

Lemma 2.4 (Converse Straffin)**.** [4] *Let* f *have a periodic point of period* $n > 1$ *with digraph* $\mathbb{D}$*. Suppose* f *is strictly monotonic on each subinterval* $J_i = [\beta_i \beta_{i+1}]$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq n-1$*. If* f *has an orbit of period* m *in the open interval* (β_1, β_n) *then either* $\mathbb{D}$ *contains a primitive cycle of length* m*, or* m *is even and* $\mathbb{D}$ *contains a primitive cycle of length* $m/2$.

3. Proofs of Main Results

3.1. Digraphs of Second Minimal Odd Orbits.

Proof. Let $f : I \to I$ be a continuous endomorphism that has a $2k+1$-orbit ($k \geq 4$) which is second minimal. Let $B = \{\beta_1 < \beta_2 < \cdots < \beta_{2k+1}\}$ be the ordered elements of this orbit; Let $r_* = \max\{i \mid f(\beta_i) > \beta_i\}$. Such an r_* exists since $f(\beta_1) > \beta_1$ and $f(\beta_{2k+1}) < \beta_{2k+1}$. So, $J_{r_*} \to J_{r_*}$; Let $B^- = \{\beta \in B \mid \beta \leq \beta_{r_*}\}, B^+ = \{\beta \in B \mid \beta > \beta_{r_*}\}$; We have $\left|B^-\right| + \left|B^+\right| = 2k+1$ and hence $\left|B^-\right| \neq \left|B^+\right|$. Assume, without loss of generality, $\left|B^-\right| > \left|B^+\right|$. Let $r = \max\{i < r_* \mid f(\beta_i) \leq \beta_{r_*}\}$. We have $f(\beta_r) \leq \beta_{r_*}$, $f(\beta_{r+1}) > \beta_{r_*}$, and hence $J_r \to J_{r_*}$. From Lemma 2.1 it follows the existence of the subgraph

$$\circlearrowleft J_{r_*} \to \cdots \to J_r \to J_{r_*} \tag{4}$$

Assume that (4) presents the shortest path. Since there are $2k$ intervals, its length is at most $2k+1$ and at least $2k-1$. Indeed, if its length is $2k-2$ or less, then Lemma 2.3 implies the existence of an odd periodic orbit of period $2k-3$ or less. Let us

change the indices of intervals in (4) successfully as $r_* = r_1, \cdots, r = r_m$ and write path (4) as

$$\circlearrowleft J_{r_1} \to \cdots \to J_{r_m} \to J_{r_1} \tag{5}$$

where $m = 2k-2$, $2k-1$, or $2k$; For simplicity we are going to use the notation i for β_i. In the sequel the notation $\begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix}$ in the second row of the cyclic permutation means that either of the entries a or b are valid choices for the image of the node in the same column of the first row; $J_{r_i} \to [a,b]$ means $f(r_i) = a$ and $f(r_i+1) = b$, the notation $\langle J_r, J_s \rangle$ means the union of J_r, J_s, and all the intervals between them. Note that if $J_{r_i} \to J_{r_j}$ and $J_{r_i} \to J_{r_k}$ then $J_{r_i} \to \left\langle J_{r_j}, J_{r_k} \right\rangle$.

Since (5) is the shortest path we have

$$J_{r_i} \nrightarrow J_{r_j} \text{ for } j > i+1, 1 \le i \le m-2; \tag{6a}$$

$$J_{r_i} \nrightarrow J_{r_1} \text{ for } 2 \le i \le m-1; \tag{6b}$$

we also have

$$J_{r_i} \nrightarrow J_{r_j} \text{ for } 1 < j < i, 4 \le i \le m, i-j \text{ even}; \tag{7a}$$

unless $i = m = 2k$, $j = 2$. Indeed, otherwise according to Lemma 2.3 an odd orbit of length less than $2k-1$ must exist. From (6) and (7) we can infer the relative position of the intervals to be either



FIGURE 2. Relative positions of intervals in the sub-graph of length m when J_{r_2} to the right of J_{r_1}

or



FIGURE 3. Relative positions of intervals in the sub-graph of length m when J_{r_2} to the left of J_{r_1}

If $m = 2k$ then the path (5) contains all $2k$ intervals. From the proof of Theorem 1.10 (for example, see Proposition 8 in [4]) it follows that the corresponding periodic orbit is minimal. Thus, we need only to focus in cases $m = 2k-1$ and $m = 2k-2$.

Lemma 3.1. *The case when $m = 2k-1$ produces exactly 2 second minimal $(2k+1)$-orbits. Cyclic permutations are given in* (8) *and* (9)*, and the corresponding digraphs are presented in fig. 4 and fig. 5 respectively.*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & k-2 & k-1 & k & k+1 & k+2 & \cdots \\ k+1 & 2k+1 & \cdots & k+5 & k+2 & k+4 & k+3 & k & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & k-2 & k-1 & k & k+1 & k+2 & k+3 & \cdots \\ k & 2k+1 & \cdots & k+5 & k+4 & k+2 & k+3 & k+1 & k-1 & \cdots \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$



FIGURE 4. Digraph of first cyclic permutation (8) when $m = 2k-1$.



FIGURE 5. Digraph of second cyclic permutation (9) when $m = 2k-1$

Proof. When the length of the path (5) is $2k-1$ we have exactly one interval, call it $\tilde{J}$, missing. Since $J_{r_{2k-1}} \to J_{r_1}$, $J_{r_{2k-1}} \nrightarrow J_{r_i}$ for $i > 1$ odd, one of the endpoints of $J_{r_{2k-1}}$ must be mapped to some element of the orbit which separates J_{r_1} and J_{r_3}. Since $\circlearrowright J_{r_1} \to J_{r_2}$, but $J_{r_1} \nrightarrow J_{r_3}$ it follows that the endpoint of J_{r_1} which separates J_{r_1} and J_{r_2}, must be mapped to the element of the orbit which separates J_{r_1} and J_{r_3}. Therefore, unless there is an interval between J_{r_1} and J_{r_3}, the element of the orbit which separates J_{r_1} and J_{r_3} will be an image of two distinct elements of the orbit. Hence, $\tilde{J}$ is between J_{r_1} and J_{r_3}, and the distribution of the intervals is as in fig. 6 or fig. 6 reflected about the center point $k+1$. Note that the distribution described in fig. 6 is relevant due to our assumption $\left|B^-\right| > \left|B^+\right|$. The other case will provide the associated inverse digraph with $\left|B^+\right| > \left|B^-\right|$. Hence, the structure is as it is described in fig. 6.
This implies the following cyclic permutation. Note the possible alternation of images of elements 1, $k+2$, $k-1$, and k.



FIGURE 6. Complete interval for case when $m = 2k-1$ with $\left|B^-\right| > \left|B^+\right|$

$$(10) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & k-1 & k & k+1 & k+2 & k+3 & k+4 & \cdots \\ k+1 & \cdots & \langle k+2, & k+4\rangle & k+3 & k & k-1 & k-2 & \cdots \\ k & & & & & k+1 & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

(1) Case (1): $f(k-1) = k+2 \Rightarrow f(k) = k+4$
(2) Case $(1)_1$: $f(1) = k+1 \Rightarrow f(k+2) = k$; This produces a simple positive type $(2k+1)$-orbit given in (8) and fig. 4 with topological structure max-min-max. Next we analyze the digraph to show that there are no primitive cycles of even length $\leq 2k-2$, which would imply by Straffin's lemma an existence of odd periodic orbit of length $\leq 2k-3$. From Lemms 2.4 it then follows that the the P-linearization of the orbit (8) presents an example of continuous map with second minimal $(2k+1)$-orbit. We split the analysis into two cases:
   (a) Consider primitive cycles that contain J_1. Without loss of generality choose J_1 as the starting vertex. First assume that cycle doesn't start with chain $J_1 \to J_{k+1}$. Since $J_{2k+1-i} \to J_i$, $i = 1,...,k-1$, any such cycle can be formed only by adding on to the starting vertex J_1 pairs $(J_{2k+1-i}, J_i), i = 1,...,k-1$. Therefore, the length of the cycle (by counting J_1 twice) will be always an odd number. On the contrary, if cycle starts with chain $J_1 \to J_{k+1}$, then to close it at J_1 the smallest required even length is $2k$.
   (b) Consider primitive cycles that don't contain J_1. Obviously, such a cycle doesn't contain $J_2, J_3, \ldots, J_{k-3}$ or $J_{k+4}, J_{k+5}, \ldots, J_{2k-1}, J_{2k}$ since these vertices have red edges connecting them all the way to J_1. Additionally, this cycle cannot contain J_{k+1} or J_k since J_1 is the only vertex (besides J_{k+1} itself) with a directed edge to J_{k+1}, and J_{k+1} is the only vertex with directed edge to J_k. This leaves 4 vertices: $J_{k-2}, J_{k-1}, J_{k+2}, J_{k+3}$. Since $J_{k+2} \to J_{k-1}$, $J_{k+3} \to J_{k-2}$ and $J_{k+2} \leftrightharpoons J_{k-1}$, $J_{k+3} \leftrightharpoons J_{k-2}$, any cycle formed by these four vertices will consist of a starting vertex followed (or ending vertex preceded) by pairs (J_{k+2}, J_{k-1}), (J_{k+3}, J_{k-2}) added arbitrarily many times, and hence no cycles of even length can be produced.
(3) Case $(1)_2$: $f(1) = k \Rightarrow f(k+2) = k+1$, then we have the period 4-suborbit $\{k-1, k+1, k+2, k+3\}$, a contradiction.
(4) Case (2): $f(k-1) = k+4 \Rightarrow f(k) = k+2$
(5) Case $(2)_1$: $f(1) = k+1 \Rightarrow f(k+2) = k$ then we have the period 2-suborbit $\{k, k+2\}$, a contradiction.
(6) Case $(2)_2$: $f(1) = k \Rightarrow f(k+2) = k+1$; This produces a simple positive type $(2k+1)$-orbit given in (9) and fig. 5 with topological structure max-min-max. We repeat the argument from Case $(1)_1$. First we analyze the digraph to show that there are no primitive cycles of even length $\leq 2k-2$. We split the analysis into two cases:
   (a) Consider primitive cycles that contain J_1. Without loss of generality choose J_1 as a starting vertex. First assume that cycle starts with the edge $J_1 \to J_j$, with j taking any value between $k+3$ and $2k$. Since $J_{2k+1-i} \to J_i$, $i =$

$1, ..., k-2$, any such cycle can be formed only by adding to starting vertex J_1 pairs $(J_{2k+1-i}, J_i), i = 1, ..., k-2$. Therefore, the length of the cycle (by counting J_1 twice) will be always an odd number. If the cycle starts with the edge $J_1 \to J_{k+2}$, then the only difference from the previous case will be the addition of the pairs (J_{k+2}, J_{k-1}) and/or (J_{k+2}, J_k) arbitrarily many times. Hence, only cycles of odd length will be produced. On the contrary, if the cycle starts with the chain $J_1 \to J_{k+1}$ or $J_1 \to J_k$, then to close it at J_1 the smallest required even length is $2k$.

(b) Consider primitive cycle that doesn't contain J_1. Obviously, such a cycle doesn't contain $J_2, J_3, \ldots, J_{k-2}$ or $J_{k+3}, J_{k+4}, \ldots, J_{2k-1}, J_{2k}$ since these vertices have red edges connecting them all the way to J_1. Additionally, this cycle cannot contain J_{k+1} since J_1 is the only vertex (besides J_{k+1} itself) with directed edge to J_{k+1}. This leaves 3 vertices: J_k, J_{k-1}, J_{k+2} connected as $J_k \leftrightharpoons J_{k+2} \leftrightharpoons J_{k-1}$. Therefore, this triple can only produce cycles when pairs (J_{k+2}, J_{k-1}) and (J_{k+2}, J_k) are added to a starting vertex. Therefore, no cycle of even length can be produced.

□

Now, observe that when the length, m, of path (5) is $2k-2$ it is comprised of $2k-2$ distinct intervals and thus there are 2 additional intervals required to complete the periodic orbit of period $2k+1$. From path (5) and the rules (6) it follows that the relative distribution of the $2k-2$ intervals is in one of the following 2 forms illustrated in fig. 7 or fig. 8. Call the two missing intervals $\tilde{J}$ and $\hat{J}$. There are $2k-1$ slots in fig. 7 or fig. 8 where we can place *each* of these extra intervals for a total of $(2k-1)^2$ pairs. However, since swapping the locations of $\tilde{J}$ and $\hat{J}$ does not affect the analysis let us consider the distribution given in fig. 8 in the upper triangular matrix (11) where (i, j) indicates placing $\tilde{J}$ in position i and $\hat{J}$ in position j.



FIGURE 7. Relative positions of intervals in the sub-graph of length $m = 2k-2$ when J_{r_2} to the right of J_{r_1}

or



FIGURE 8. Relative positions of intervals in the sub-graph of length $m = 2k-2$ when J_{r_2} to the left of J_{r_1}

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(1,1) & (1,2) & (1,3) & \cdots & (1,2k-3) & (1,2k-2) & (1,2k-1) \\
 & (2,2) & (2,3) & \cdots & (2,2k-3) & (2,2k-2) & (2,2k-1) \\
 & & (3,3) & \cdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & & & \ddots & (i,i) & \cdots & (i,2k-i+2) \\
 & & & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
 & & & & & \ddots & (2k-1,2k-1)
\end{array}
\tag{11}
$$

The next lemma specifies all the entries (i, j) in matrix (11) , such that insertion of $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ in (i, j) can produce second minimal odd orbits.

Lemma 3.2. *Fix the entry point, i, for $\tilde{J}$, then to produce second minimal $2k+1$ orbit, $\hat{J}$ can only be placed in*

(1) *position $2k-1$ when $i = 1$,*
(2) *positions $2k-i$ or $2k-i+1$ for $1 < i < k$,*
(3) *and position $k+1$ when $i = k$.*



FIGURE 9. Relative ordering when $m = 2k-2$ and $\tilde{J}$ is in position i

Proof. Let $1 < i < k$ for $k > 3$. Let the interval immediately to the left of $\tilde{J}$ be called J_{r_w}, or, the w-th distinct interval in path (5). From the relative positions of the intervals in fig. 3 it is clear that $w = 2(k-i+1)$. As depicted in fig. 9, the intervals to the right of $\tilde{J}$, have a set path. $J_{r_{w-4}}$ maps only to $J_{r_{w-3}}$, $J_{r_{w-2}}$ maps only to $J_{r_{w-1}}$, etc. Note that while the exact points that these intervals map to might change upon the insertion of $\hat{J}$, the overall structure must remain the same in order to preserve path (5).

However, this pattern can no longer be continued indefinitely for interval J_{r_w}. J_{r_w} must be mapped to $J_{r_{w+1}}$, by definition. This implies that one end point of J_{r_w} is mapped arbitrarily to the left of $J_{r_{w+1}}$, and the other endpoint is mapped arbitrarily to the right of $J_{r_{w+1}}$. According to (6), J_{r_w} can't map to any odd interval greater than $J_{r_{w+1}}$. Assuming that $J_{r_{w+1}}$ isn't the rightmost interval, or in other words $w+1 < 2k-3$, then the endpoint of J_{r_w} that maps to the right of $J_{r_{w+1}}$, must map to a point separating $J_{r_{w+1}}$ and $J_{r_{w+3}}$. This could either be a point directly in between $J_{r_{w+1}}$ and $J_{r_{w+3}}$, or a new point between $J_{r_{w+1}}$ and $J_{r_{w+3}}$, upon the insertion of $\hat{J}$.

Note that if $w+1 = 2k-3$, or in other words $J_{r_{w+1}}$ is the rightmost interval, then $J_{r_{w+3}}$ no longer exists. Rather than mapping to a point separating $J_{r_{w+1}}$ and $J_{r_{w+3}}$, an endpoint of J_{r_w} will just map to the right of $J_{r_{w+1}}$.

While it is clear how one endpoint of J_{r_w} will map to the right of $J_{r_{w+1}}$, it is much less clear how an endpoint of J_{r_w} will map to the left of $J_{r_{w+1}}$. According to (7), J_{r_w} can not map to J_{r_1} or any J_{r_k} where $k < w$ and is even. Thus, the arbitrary point to the left of $J_{r_{w+1}}$ that an endpoint of J_{r_w} must map to, must be separating J_{r_1} and $J_{r_{w+1}}$. Thus, the missing interval $\hat{J}$ must be inserted between J_{r_1} and $J_{r_{w+1}}$. Note that according to the previously mentioned positional notation, this includes all the positions $k+1, k+2, \ldots, 2k-i, 2k-i+1$.

Suppose that the interval $\hat{J}$ is inserted into a position to the left of $2k-i$. Assume, without loss of generality, that the interval $\hat{J}$ is inserted into position $2k-i-1$ (between intervals $J_{r_{w-3}}$ and $J_{r_{w-5}}$ if $w \geq 6$, and between J_{r_1} and J_{r_2} if $w = 4$), as the contradiction will be the same. The interval immediately to the right of $\hat{J}$ has some trouble mapping to the interval indexed one greater than itself. In the situation where $\hat{J}$ is placed in position $2k-i-1$, the interval immediately to the right of $\hat{J}$ is $J_{r_{w-3}}$, which has trouble mapping to $J_{r_{w-2}}$. One endpoint of $J_{r_{w-3}}$ must map to the left of $J_{r_{w-2}}$, while the other must map to the right of $J_{r_{w-2}}$. Again, according to (7), $J_{r_{w-3}}$ can't map to a lesser odd interval, or J_{r_1}. Thus, the only available point to the left of J_{r_1} and to the right of $J_{r_{w-2}}$, is the point indexed $k+1$, or the left endpoint of J_{r_1}. However, it is important to note that the largest indexed interval, $J_{r_{2k-2}}$, must also map back to J_{r_1}. And according to (7), $J_{r_{2k-2}}$ can't map to a lesser even interval, specifically including J_{r_2}. Therefore, an endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-2}}$ must map to the left of J_{r_1}, but can not map to the left of J_{r_2}. The only point that fits this description is the one indexed $k+1$. Thus, the point $k+1$ is already taken, and an endpoint of $J_{r_{w-3}}$ can not map to the point indexed $k+1$. Furthermore, there are no open points that are both to the right of $J_{r_{w-2}}$ and to the left of J_{r_1}. This is an immediate contradiction, as it is now impossible for an endpoint of $J_{r_{w-3}}$ to map to the right of $J_{r_{w-2}}$, making it impossible for $J_{r_{w-3}}$ to contain $J_{r_{w-2}}$.

Now suppose instead $w = 4$. If this is the case, then $\hat{J}$ is inserted between J_{r_1} and J_{r_2}. Again, the image of J_{r_1} must contain only J_{r_1} and J_{r_2}. Thus, one endpoint of J_{r_1} must map to the right of J_{r_1}, but can not map to the right of J_{r_3}. Therefore, the left endpoint of J_{r_1} must map to the point separating J_{r_1} and J_{r_3}. Now, J_{r_2} must map to J_{r_3}, but can not map back to J_{r_1}. Thus, one of the endpoints of J_{r_2} must map to a point separating J_{r_1} and J_{r_3}. Both the left endpoint of of J_{r_1} and an endpoint

of J_{r_2} must map to points separating J_{r_1} and J_{r_3}. There is only one point separating J_{r_1} and J_{r_3}, so this is an immediate contradiction.

Therefore, it is impossible for $\hat{J}$ to be inserted to the left of position $2k-i$, because the interval immediately to the right of $\hat{J}$ can no longer map to the interval indexed one greater than itself, in the case of $w \geq 6$. In the case of $w = 4$, a separate contradiction arises when both the left endpoint of of J_{r_1} and an endpoint of J_{r_2} must map to the singular point separating J_{r_1} and J_{r_3}. The only two possible positions of $\hat{J}$ that can produce valid second minimal orbits when $\tilde{J}$ is inserted into position i, are the positions $2k-i$ and $2k-i+1$.

Suppose that $\tilde{J}$ is inserted into position k. By (6), the image of J_{r_1} must contain itself. This means, by definition that one endpoint of J_{r_1} must map to the right of itself, and the other endpoint of J_{r_1} must map to the left of itself. However, by (6), J_{r_1} can not map to J_{r_3}. Thus, the endpoint of J_{r_1} that maps to the right of itself, must map to the left of J_{r_3}. In other words, one endpoint of J_{r_1} must map to a point separating J_{r_1} and J_{r_3}. By (6), the image of J_{r_2} must contain J_{r_3}. Again, this means that one endpoint of J_{r_2} maps to the left of J_{r_3}, and the other endpoint of J_{r_2} maps to the right of J_{r_3}. However, by (7), the image of J_{r_2} can not contain J_{r_1}. Thus, the endpoint of J_{r_2} that maps to the left of J_{r_3} can not map to the left of J_{r_1}. In other words, an endpoint of J_{r_2} must map to points separating J_{r_1} and J_{r_3}. Both an endpoint of J_{r_1} and an endpoint J_{r_2} must map to points separating J_{r_1} and J_{r_3}. Note that, when $\tilde{J}$ was inserted into position k, J_{r_1} and J_{r_2} no longer shared an endpoint. Thus, the two endpoints that map to points separating J_{r_1} and J_{r_3} must necessarily be two different points. Thus, there must be at least two different points separating J_{r_1} and J_{r_3}. This can only be achieved by inserting $\hat{J}$ between J_{r_1} and J_{r_3}, which is position $k+1$. Or in other words, if $\tilde{J}$ is inserted into position k, a valid second minimal orbit can only be constructed if $\hat{J}$ is inserted into position $k+1$.

Finally, suppose that $\tilde{J}$ is inserted into position 1. There are two options here:

(1) $\hat{J}$ is inserted arbitrarily to the left of J_{r_1}.
(2) $\hat{J}$ is inserted arbitrarily to the right of J_{r_1}.

Suppose that $\hat{J}$ is inserted arbitrarily to the left of J_{r_1}. If $\hat{J}$ is in any position j, where $2 \leq j \leq k$. By simply changing the notation, where $\hat{J}$ becomes $\tilde{J}$ and $\tilde{J}$ becomes $\hat{J}$, we suddenly have the cases already addressed earlier in the proof, with $\tilde{J}$ being arbitrarily between $J_{r_{2k-2}}$ and J_{r_1}. Note that for none of the cases where $2 \leq i \leq k$, position 1 was not a valid position for $\hat{J}$. Thus, the only case that hasn't been considered is when both $\tilde{J}$ and $\hat{J}$ are in position 1. For the sake of simplicity, assume that $\hat{J}$ is the first interval, and $\tilde{J}$ is the interval between $\hat{J}$ and $J_{r_{2k-2}}$.

It follows from (6) that the image of J_{r_1} must contain only itself and J_{r_2}. This is only possible if the left endpoint of J_{r_1}, indexed $k+2$ maps to the right endpoint of J_{r_1}, which is indexed $k+3$; and the right endpoint of J_{r_1} maps to the left endpoint of J_{r_2}, indexed $k+1$. By (6), J_{r_2} must contain J_{r_3} and no odd interval with a greater index, which is only possible if the left endpoint of J_{r_2}, indexed k, maps to the right endpoint of J_{r_3}, indexed $k+4$. Furthermore, by (6) every even interval can contain only the odd interval indexed one greater than itself and no greater odd interval, and conversely, every odd interval can contain only the even interval indexed one

greater than itself and no greater even interval. This fact forces the intervals to follow the structure depicted in [8]. This pattern continues until the left endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$ maps to the right endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-2}}$, and the left endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-4}}$ maps to the right of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$. This construction yields fig. 10, where the solid red lines represent the Stefan-like structure present when $\tilde{J}$ and $\hat{J}$ are both placed in Position 1.



FIGURE 10. Fixed ordering when for intervals J_{r_1} through $J_{r_{2k-4}}$, when both $\tilde{J}$ and $\hat{J}$ are in position 1.

By (6), the image of $J_{r_{2k-2}}$ must contain J_{r_1}, but can not map to a lesser even interval, specifically J_{r_2}. Therefore, the left endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-2}}$ must map somewhere to the left of J_{r_1}, but can not map to the left of J_{r_2}. In other words, it must map to a point separating J_{r_1} and J_{r_2}. The only point that meets this condition is indexed $k+2$. Therefore, the left endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-2}}$ must map to the point indexed $k+2$, shown by the dotted red line in fig. 10.

By (6), the image of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$ must contain $J_{r_{2k-2}}$. Thus, the right endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$ must map to the left of $J_{r_{2k-2}}$. However, note if the right endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$ maps immediately to the left of $J_{r_{2k-2}}$, to the point indexed 3, then two closed sub-orbits of length 2, and of the form $\{1,2\}$. Thus, the right endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$ can map either to the point indexed 1 or the point indexed 2. Investigating both of these cases individually leads to quick contradictions.

Suppose that the right endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$ maps to the left endpoint of $\hat{J}$, or the point indexed 1. The only two 'open' points, or points that don't already have a point mapping to them, are the ones indexed 2 and 3. Because point 2 can not map to itself, it must map to the only other open point, indexed 3. The final point, indexed 1, can now only map to point 2, completing the cyclic permutation. However, this can instantly be shown to be an invalid cyclic permutation, due to the presence of a 3 orbit, of the form $\tilde{J} \to J_{r_{2k-2}} \to J_{r_{2k-3}} \to \tilde{J}$.

Since the left endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$ can not map to the point indexed 1, the case where the left endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$ maps to the point indexed 2, is considered. Now, the only two open points are the ones indexed 1 and 3. Because point 1 can not map to itself, it must map to the only other open point, indexed 3. The final point, indexed 2, can now only map to point 1, completing the cyclic permutation. However, this can instantly be shown to be an invalid cyclic permutation, due to the presence of a 3 orbit, of the form $\hat{J} \to \tilde{J} \to \hat{J} \to \hat{J}$.

This exhausts all possible options for points which the right endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$ can map to, proving that it is impossible to form a valid cyclic permutation, when $\tilde{J}$ and $\hat{J}$ are both in position 1. Furthermore, all cases where $\tilde{J}$ is in position 1 and $\hat{J}$ is in an arbitrary position j, where $2 \leq j \leq k$ have been proven to lead to contradictions. Thus any case where $\tilde{J}$ is in position 1 and $\hat{J}$ is inserted arbitrarily to the left of J_{r_1}, can not lead to the construction of a valid second minimal odd orbit.

A valid second minimal odd orbit for the case where $\tilde{J}$ is in position 1, can only be constructed when $\hat{J}$ is inserted arbitrarily to the right of J_{r_1}. Consider the case when $\hat{J}$ is inserted between J_{r_1} and $J_{r_{2k-3}}$. Now assume without loss of generality that $\hat{J}$ is inserted to the position immediately to the left of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$, as the contradiction will be the same. The interval immediately to the right of $\hat{J}$, in this case $J_{r_{2k-3}}$, has trouble mapping to the interval indexed one greater than itself, in this case $J_{r_{2k-2}}$. While it is clear how an endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$ will map to the left of $J_{r_{2k-2}}$, it is much less clear how an endpoint will map to the right of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$. Again, according to (7), the image of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$ can't contain to J_{r_1}. Thus, an endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$ must map to a point separating $J_{r_{2k-2}}$ and J_{r_1}. The only point that fits this description is the one indexed $k+1$. However, it is important to note that the largest indexed interval, $J_{r_{2k-2}}$, must also map back to J_{r_1}. And according to (7), $J_{r_{2k-2}}$ can't map to a lesser even interval, specifically including J_{r_2}. Therefore, an endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-2}}$ must map to the left of J_{r_1}, but can not map to the left of J_{r_2}. The only point that fits this description is the one indexed $k+1$, which is already taken. This is an immediate contradiction, as both an endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$ and an endpoint of $J_{r_{2k-2}}$ must map to the point indexed $k+1$. Therefore, it is impossible for $\hat{J}$ to be placed between J_{r_1} and $J_{r_{2k-3}}$. The only possible way to make the construction valid second minimal odd orbits possible when $\tilde{J}$ is placed in position one, is by placing $\hat{J}$ into the position $2k-1$, or immediately to the right of $J_{r_{2k-3}}$.

To complete the proof we show there are no valid settings (i, j) for $k < i \leq 2k-1$, $j \geq i$. Note that, (11) only includes (i, j) pairs where $j \geq k$, if $i > k$. Thus, both i and j are to the right of J_{r_1}. Assume for the sake of simplicity, that the interval closer to J_{r_1} is the one labeled $\tilde{J}$. All intervals between J_{r_1} and $\tilde{J}$ will map according to the minimal structure Theorem 1.10 [4], as described earlier. The Stefan structure comes to an end when the interval immediately to the left of $\tilde{J}$, $J_{r_{2i-2k-1}}$, maps to $J_{r_{2i-2k}}$. Now, the interval to the right of $\tilde{J}$, $J_{r_{2i-2k+1}}$, has trouble mapping to the interval $J_{r_{2i-2k+2}}$. $J_{r_{2i-2k+1}}$ can not map to J_{r_1}, according to the rules (6) and (7), so one of its endpoints must map to a point separating J_{r_1} and $J_{r_{2i-2k+2}}$. The only such point is k. However, as discussed before, k must be mapped to by an endpoint of

the interval $J_{r_{2k-2}}$. Thus there are no open points between J_{r_1} and $J_{r_{2i-2k+2}}$. Note that, because $\hat{J}$ is inserted to the right of $\tilde{J}$, it is impossible for an endpoint of $J_{r_{2i-2k+1}}$ to map to the right of $J_{r_{2i-2k+2}}$. Thus it is impossible for $J_{r_{2i-2k+1}}$ to contain $J_{r_{2i-2k+2}}$, when both $\tilde{J}$ and $\hat{J}$ are to the right of J_{r_1}, which is a clear contradiction. □

Lemma 3.3. *Placing $\tilde{J}$ and $\hat{J}$ in setting $(1,2k-1)$ produces exactly 2 second minimal orbits. These are given in listings (12) and (13) and the corresponding digraphs are presented in fig. 11 and fig. 12 respectively.*

$$(12)\qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & 2k-1 & 2k & 2k+1 \\ 2k+1 & k+1 & 2k & \cdots & k+2 & k & \cdots & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(13)\qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \cdots & k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & 2k & 2k+1 \\ 2k & k+1 & 2k+1 & 2k-1 & \cdots & k+2 & k & \cdots & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



FIGURE 11. Digraph for Cyclic Permutation of eq. (12) from Setting $(1,2k-1)$



FIGURE 12. Digraph for Cyclic Permutation of eq. (13) from Setting $(1,2k-1)$

Proof. Having inserted $(\tilde{J},\hat{J})$ in position $(1,2k-1)$ we have the full interval distribution given in fig. 13. Then, using the path (5) and the rules in (6), and (7) we observe that the images of the elements of the cycle from 4 to $2k-1$ are uniquely defined following Stefan structure as it is demonstrated in (14).

$$(14)\qquad \begin{pmatrix} 4 & \cdots & k & k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & 2k-1 \\ 2k-1 & \cdots & k+3 & k+2 & k & \cdots & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

The alteration appears only in images of the elements $1,3,2k,2k+1$. By using the path (5) and the rules in (6), and (7) again, we construct the potential cyclic permutation (15) and analyze which of the available choices lead to valid second minimal odd orbits.



FIGURE 13. Complete interval for case when length is $2k-1$ with $\left|B^-\right| > \left|B^+\right|$ and missing intervals are at setting $(1, 2k-1)$

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & k+1 & \cdots & 2k-1 & 2k & 2k+1 \\
2k+1 & & & & & & & & 2 \\
2k & k+1 & 2k & \cdots & k+2 & \cdots & 3 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & & 2k+1 & & & & & 2 & 2k
\end{pmatrix} \tag{15}
$$

(1) Case (1): Choosing $f(2k+1) = 2 \Rightarrow f(2k) = 1, f(1) = 2k+1, f(3) = 2k$; This leads to a valid second minimal orbit with the topological structure min-max-min, the associated digraph is presented in fig. 11 and the cyclic permutation is listed in (12). Next we analyze the digraph to show that there are no primitive cycles of even length $\leq 2k-2$, which would imply by Straffin's lemma an existence of odd periodic orbit of length $\leq 2k-3$. From Lemms 2.4 it then follows that the the P-linearization of the orbit (12) presents an example of continuous map with second minimal $(2k+1)$-orbit.
   (a) Consider primitive cycles that contain J_1. Without loss of generality choose J_1 as the starting vertex. First assume that cycle doesn't contain J_{k+1}. Since $J_{2k+1-i} \to J_i,\ i = 1, ..., k-1; J_{2k-1} \to J_1$ any such cycle can be formed only by adding to starting vertex J_1 pairs (J_{2k-1}, J_1), $(J_{2k+1-i}, J_i), i = 1, ..., k-1$. Therefore, the length of the cycle (by counting J_1 twice) will be always an odd number. On the contrary, if cycle contains J_{k+1}, then to close it at J_1 the smallest required even length is $2k+2$.
   (b) Consider primitive cycles that doesn't contain J_1, but contain J_2. Without loss of generality choose J_2 as the starting vertex. First assume that cycle doesn't contain J_{k+1}. Since $J_{2k+1-i} \to J_i,\ i = 2, ..., k-1$, any such cycle can be formed only by adding to starting vertex J_2 pairs $(J_{2k+1-i}, J_i), i = 2, ..., k-1$. Therefore, the length of the cycle (by counting J_2 twice) will be always an odd number. On the contrary, if cycle contains J_{k+1}, then to close it at J_2 the smallest required even length is $2k$. Finally, it is easy to see that by excluding J_1 and J_2 from the cycle, due to red edges all the vertices but J_{k+1} must be also excluded, and cycle at J_{k+1} is the only possibility.

(2) Case (2): $f(2k+1) = 1 \Rightarrow f(2k) = 1, f(1) = 2k+1, f(3) = 2k$; This leads to a valid second minimal orbit with the topological structure min-max, the associated digraph is presented in fig. 12 and the cyclic permutation is listed in (13). Next we prove as in previous case that there are no primitive cycles of even length $\leq 2k-2$, and therefore according to Lemms 2.4 P-linearization of the orbit (12) presents an example of continuous map with second minimal $(2k+1)$-orbit.
   (a) Consider primitive cycles that contain J_1. Without loss of generality choose J_1 as the starting vertex. First assume that cycle doesn't contain J_{k+1}. Since $J_{2k+1-i} \to J_i,\ i = 1, ..., k-1$, any such cycle can be formed only by adding to starting vertex J_1 pairs $(J_{2k+1-i}, J_i), i = 1, ..., k-1$. Therefore, the length of the cycle (by counting J_1 twice) will be always an odd number. On the contrary,

if cycle contains J_{k+1}, then to close it at J_1 the smallest required even length is $2k+2$.

(b) Consider primitive cycles that doesn't contain J_1, but contain J_2. Without loss of generality choose J_2 as the starting vertex. First assume that cycle doesn't contain J_{k+1}. Since $J_{2k+1-i} \to J_i$, $i = 2,...,k-1$, any such cycle can be formed only by adding to starting vertex J_2 pairs $(J_{2k+1-i}, J_i), i = 2,...,k-1$. Therefore, the length of the cycle (by counting J_2 twice) will be always an odd number. On the contrary, if cycle contains J_{k+1}, then to close it at J_2 the smallest required even length is $2k$. Finally, it is easy to see that by excluding J_1 and J_2 from the cycle, due to red edges all the vertices but J_{k+1} must be also excluded, and cycle at J_{k+1} is the only possibility.

(3) Case (3): $f(2k+1) = 2k \Rightarrow f(3) = 2k+1, f(1) = 2, f(2k) = 1$. Produced cyclic permutation contains the subgraph $J_{2k} \to J_1 \to J_3 \to J_{2k}$. According to Straffin's lemma this subgraph implies the existence of period 3-orbit, which is a contardiction.

□

Lemma 3.4. *Placing $\tilde{J}$ and $\hat{J}$ in setting $(2, 2k-1)$ produces exactly 3 second minimal orbits. These are given in listings* (12), (16), *and* (17) *and the corresponding digraphs are presented in fig. 11, fig. 14, and fig. 15 respectively.*

$$(16) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \cdots & k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & 2k-1 & 2k & 2k+1 \\ k+1 & 2k & 2k+1 & 2k-1 & \cdots & k+2 & k & \cdots & 3 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(17) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & 2k-2 & 2k-1 & 2k & 2k+1 \\ k+1 & 2k+1 & 2k & \cdots & k+2 & k & \cdots & 4 & 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$



FIGURE 14. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (16), $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ in setting $(2, 2k-1)$.



FIGURE 15. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (17), $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ in setting $(2, 2k-1)$.

Proof. Having inserted $(\tilde{J},\hat{J})$ in position $(2,2k-1)$ and by using the path (5) and the rules in (6), and (7) we observe that the images of the elements of the cycle from 4 to $2k-2$ are uniquely defined following Stefan structure as it is demonstrated in (18).

$$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & \cdots & k & k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & 2k-2 \\ 2k-1 & \cdots & k+3 & k+2 & k & \cdots & 4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{18}$$

The alteration appears only in images of the elements $1,2,3,2k-1,2k+1$. By using the path (5) and the rules in (6), and (7) again, we construct the potential cyclic permutation (19) and analyze which of the available choices lead to valid second minimal odd orbits.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & k+1 & \cdots & 2k-1 & 2k & 2k+1 \\ \langle k+1, & \begin{matrix} 2k+1 \\ 2k \end{matrix}\rangle & \begin{matrix} 2k \\ 2k+1 \end{matrix} & \cdots & k+2 & \cdots & \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{matrix} & 1 & \begin{matrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{matrix} \end{pmatrix} \tag{19}$$

(1) If $f(1)=2k \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{1,2k\}$, a contradiction.
(2) If $f(1)=2k+1 \Rightarrow f(2)=k+1, f(3)=2k$
   (a) If $f(2k-1)=2 \Rightarrow f(2k+1)=3 \Rightarrow$ 4-suborbit $\{1,3,2k,2k+1\}$, a contradiction.
   (b) If $f(2k-1)=3 \Rightarrow f(2k+1)=2$, by we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (12) with topological structure min-max-min, observe that this is the same as (12) from setting $(1,2k-1)$, and so the settings share a cyclic permutation. This is expected since to move from setting $(1,2k-1)$ to $(2,2k-1)$, only the location of $\tilde{J}$ is changed and so, in this particular case, the digraph remains unchanged as we simply swap the intervals $\tilde{J}$ and $J_{r_{2k-2}}$.
(3) $f(1)=k+1, f(2)=2k \Rightarrow f(3)=2k+1, f(2k+1)=2, f(2k-1)=3$. This leads to a valid second minimal orbit with the topological structure max-min, the associated digraph is presented in fig. 14 and the cyclic permutation is listed in (16). Next we prove that there are no primitive cycles of even length $\leq 2k-2$, and therefore according to Lemma 2.4 P-linearization of the orbit (16) presents an example of continuous map with second minimal $(2k+1)$-orbit.
   (a) Consider primitive cycles that contain J_1. Without loss of generality choose J_1 as the starting vertex. First assume that cycle doesn't contain J_{k+1}. Since $J_{2k+1-i} \to J_i,\ i=1,...,k-1; i\neq 2$; and $J_{2k-1}\to J_1, J_{2k-1}\to J_2$ any such cycle can be formed only by adding to starting vertex J_1 pairs (J_{2k-1},J_2), $(J_{2k+1-i},J_i), i=1,...,k-1$. Therefore, the length of the cycle (by counting J_1 twice) will be always an odd number. On the contrary, if cycle contains J_{k+1}, then to close it at J_1 the smallest required even length is $2k$.
   (b) Consider primitive cycles that doesn't contain J_1. It is easy to see that by excluding J_1 from the cycle, due to red edges all the vertices but J_{k+1} must be also excluded, and cycle at J_{k+1} is the only possibility.
(4) $f(1)=k+1, f(2)=2k+1 \Rightarrow f(3)=2k, f(2k+1)=3, f(2k-1)=2$. This produces a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit with topological structure max-min, the associated digraph is presented in fig. 15 and the cyclic permutation is listed in (17). As in previous cases we prove that there are no primitive cycles of even length $\leq 2k-2$, and therefore according to Lemma 2.4 P-linearization of the orbit (17) presents an example of continuous map with second minimal $(2k+1)$-orbit.
   (a) Consider primitive cycles that contain J_1. Without loss of generality J_1 as the starting vertex. First assume that cycle doesn't contain J_{k+1}. Since $J_{2k+1-i} \to J_i,\ i=4,...,k-1$ (if $k\geq 5$) and $J_{2k-2}\to J_3$, $J_{2k-2}\to J_2$, $J_{2k-1}\to J_1$,

$J_{2k} \to J_1$ any such cycle can be formed only by adding to starting vertex J_1 pairs (J_{2k-1}, J_1), (J_{2k}, J_2), (J_{2k-2}, J_2), $(J_{2k+1-i}, J_i), i = 1, ..., k-1; i \neq 2$; Therefore, the length of the cycle (by counting J_1 twice) will be always an odd number. On the contrary, if cycle contains J_{k+1}, then to close it at J_1 the smallest required even length is $2k$.

(b) Consider primitive cycles that doesn't contain J_1. It is easy to see that by excluding J_1 from the cycle, due to red edges all the vertices but J_{k+1}, J_{2k}, J_2 must be also excluded, and cycle at J_{k+1} and cycle formed by J_2 and J_{2k} are only possibilities.

□

Lemma 3.5. *Placing $\tilde{J}$ and $\hat{J}$ in setting $(2, 2k-2)$ produces exactly 4 second minimal orbits. These are listed in cyclic permutations (13), (16), (20), and (21) and the corresponding digraphs are presented in fig. 12, fig. 14, fig. 16, and fig. 17 respectively.*

(20)
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \cdots & k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & 2k-2 & 2k-1 & 2k & 2k+1 \\ k+1 & 2k & 2k+1 & 2k-1 & \cdots & k+2 & k & \cdots & 4 & 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(21)
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & \cdots & k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & 2k-1 & 2k & 2k+1 \\ k+1 & 2k-1 & 2k+1 & 2k & 2k-2 & \cdots & k+2 & k & \cdots & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



FIGURE 16. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (20), $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ in setting $(2, 2k-2)$.



FIGURE 17. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (21), $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ in setting $(2, 2k-2)$.

Proof. Having inserted $(\tilde{J},\hat{J})$ in position $(2,2k-2)$ and by using the path (5) and the rules in (6), and (7) we observe that the images of the elements of the cycle from 5 to $2k-2$ are uniquely defined following Stefan structure as it is demonstrated in (22).

$$\begin{pmatrix} 5 & \cdots & k & k+1 & k+2 & \cdots & 2k-2 \\ 2k-2 & \cdots & k+3 & k+2 & k & \cdots & 4 \end{pmatrix} \tag{22}$$

The alteration appears only in images of the elements $1,2,4,2k-1,2k,2k+1$. By using the path (5) and the rules in (6), and (7) again, we construct the potential cyclic permutation (23)and analyze which of the available choices lead to valid second minimal odd orbits.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \cdots & 2k-1 & 2k & 2k+1 \\ \langle k+1, & \begin{matrix} 2k-1 \\ 2k \end{matrix}\rangle & 2k+1 & \begin{matrix} 2k \\ 2k-1 \end{matrix} & \cdots & \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{matrix} & \langle 1, & \begin{matrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{matrix}\rangle \end{pmatrix} \tag{23}$$

(1) If $f(1)=2k \Rightarrow f(2)=k+1, f(4)=2k-1$
   (a) If $f(2k)=1 \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{1,2k\}$, a contradiction.
   (b) If $f(2k)=2$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (13) with topological structure min-max, shared with setting $(1,2k-1)$.
   (c) If $f(2k)=3 \Rightarrow$ 4-suborbit $\{1,3,2k,2k+1\}$, a contradiction.

(2) If $f(1)=2k-1 \Rightarrow f(2)=k+1, f(4)=2k$
   (a) If $f(2k)=1$ and $f(2k-1)=2 \Rightarrow f(2k+1)=3 \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{3,2k+1\}$, a contradiction.
   (b) If $f(2k)=1$ and $f(2k-1)=3 \Rightarrow f(2k+1)=2$, then for $k>3$ we have the primitive subgraph
   $$J_{r_1} \to J_{r_1} \to \cdots \to J_{r_{2k-6}} \to \hat{J} \to J_{r_{2k-2}} \to J_{r_1}$$
   Lemma 2.3 implies the existence of $2k-3$-periodic orbit, which is a contradiction. For $k=3$ we have the subgraph
   $$J_{r_1} \to \hat{J} \to J_{r_4} \to J_{r_1}$$
   which leads to a 3-orbit, a contradiction.
   (c) If $f(2k)=2 \Rightarrow f(2k-1)=3, f(2k+1)=1 \Rightarrow$ 4-suborbit $\{1,3,2k-1,2k+1\}$, a contradiction.
   (d) If $f(2k)=3 \Rightarrow f(2k-1)=2, f(2k+1)=1$, then for $k>3$ we have the subgraph
   $$J_{r_1} \to J_{r_1} \to \cdots \to J_{r_{2k-6}} \to \hat{J} \to \tilde{J} \to J_{r_1}$$
   Lemma 2.3 implies the existence of $2k-3$-periodic orbit, which is a contradiction. For $k=3$ we have the subgraph
   $$J_{r_1} \to \hat{J} \to \tilde{J} \to J_{r_1}$$
   which leads to a 3-orbit, a contradiction.

(3) If $f(2)=2k \Rightarrow f(1)=k+1, f(4)=2k-1$
   (a) If $f(2k)=1$ and $f(2k-1)=2 \Rightarrow f(2k+1)=3 \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{3,2k+1\}$, a contradiction.
   (b) If $f(2k)=1$ and $f(2k-1)=3 \Rightarrow f(2k+1)=2$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (16) with topological structure max-min, shared with setting $(2,2k-1)$.
   (c) If $f(2k)=2 \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{2,2k\}$, a contradiction.

(d) If $f(2k) = 3 \Rightarrow f(2k-1) = 2, f(2k+1) = 1$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (20) with topological structure max-min-max, and the associated digraph is presented in fig. 16. Next we prove as in previous lemma that there are no primitive cycles of even length $\leq 2k-2$, and therefore according to Lemma 2.4 P-linearization of the orbit (20) presents an example of continuous map with second minimal $(2k+1)$-orbit.

(i) Consider primitive cycles that contain J_1. Without loss of generality J_1 as the starting vertex. First assume that cycle doesn't contain J_{k+1}. Since $J_{2k+1-i} \to J_i$, $i = 2,...,k-1; i \neq 3$; and $J_{2k} \to J_1, J_{2k-2} \to J_3$, $J_{2k-2} \to J_2$ any such cycle can be formed only by adding to starting vertex J_1 pairs (J_{2k-2}, J_2), $(J_{2k+1-i}, J_i), i = 1,...,k-1$. Therefore, the length of the cycle (by counting J_1 twice) will be always an odd number. On the contrary, if cycle contains J_{k+1}, then to close it at J_1 the smallest required even length is $2k$.

(ii) Consider primitive cycles that doesn't contain J_1. It is easy to see that by excluding J_1 from the cycle, due to red edges all the vertices but J_{k+1}, J_2, J_{2k} must be also excluded, and cycle at J_{k+1} and cycle formed by J_2 and J_{2k} are the only possibilities.

(4) If $f(2) = 2k-1 \Rightarrow f(1) = k+1, f(4) = 2k$

(a) If $f(2k) = 1$ and $f(2k-1) = 2 \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{2, 2k-1\}$, a contradiction.

(b) If $f(2k) = 1$ and $f(2k-1) = 3 \Rightarrow$ 4-suborbit $\{2, 3, 2k-1, 2k+1\}$, a contradiction.

(c) If $f(2k) = 2 \Rightarrow f(2k-1) = 3, f(2k+1) = 1$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (21) with topological structure max-min-max, and the associated digraph is presented in fig. 17. Next we prove as in previous cases that there are no primitive cycles of even length $\leq 2k-2$, and therefore according to Lemma 2.4 P-linearization of the orbit (21) presents an example of continuous map with second minimal $(2k+1)$-orbit.

(i) Consider primitive cycles that contain J_1. Without loss of generality choose J_1 as the starting vertex. First assume that cycle doesn't contain J_{k+1}. Since $J_{2k+1-i} \to J_i$, $i = 1,...,k-1$; any such cycle can be formed only by adding to starting vertex J_1 pairs $(J_{2k+1-i}, J_i), i = 1,...,k-1$. Therefore, the length of the cycle (by counting J_1 twice) will be always an odd number. On the contrary, if cycle contains J_{k+1}, then to close it at J_1 the smallest required even length is $2k$.

(ii) Consider primitive cycles that doesn't contain J_1. It is easy to see that by excluding J_1 from the cycle, due to red edges all the vertices but J_{k+1}, J_2, J_{2k-1} must be also excluded, and cycle at J_{k+1} and cycle formed by J_2 and J_{2k-1} are the only possibilities.

(d) If $f(2k) = 3 \Rightarrow f(2k-1) = 2 \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{2, 2k-1\}$, a contradiction.

□

Lemma 3.6. *Each setting (i, j) with $2 < i < k$ and $j = 2k-i, k > 3$ produces exactly* 4 *second minimal cycles listed in cyclic permutations* (24), (25), (26), *and* (27). *If $i = 3$,* (24) *repeats the cyclic permutation* (21) *revealed in Lemma 3.5. When $i = k-1$, the cyclic permutation* (27) *and* (8) *from Lemma 3.1 are identical. The corresponding digraphs are presented in fig. 18, fig. 19, fig. 20, and fig. 21 respectively, when $3 < i < k-1$.*

$$(24)\quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i-1 & i & i+1 & i+2 & \cdots & j+1 & j+2 & j+3 & \cdots & 2k+1 \\ k+1 & \cdots & j+2 & j+4 & j+3 & j+1 & \cdots & i+1 & i & i-1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(25)\quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i-1 & i & i+1 & i+2 & \cdots & j+1 & j+2 & j+3 & \cdots & 2k+1 \\ k+1 & \cdots & j+4 & j+2 & j+3 & j+1 & \cdots & i & i+1 & i-1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(26)\quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i-1 & i & i+1 & i+2 & \cdots & j+1 & j+2 & j+3 & \cdots & 2k+1 \\ k+1 & \cdots & j+4 & j+2 & j+3 & j+1 & \cdots & i+1 & i-1 & i & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(27)\quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i-1 & i & i+1 & i+2 & \cdots & j+1 & j+2 & j+3 & \cdots & 2k+1 \\ k+1 & \cdots & j+4 & j+1 & j+3 & j+2 & \cdots & i+1 & i & i-1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



FIGURE 18. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (24), $(\tilde{J},\hat{J})$ in setting $(i,j), 3 < i < k-1$.



FIGURE 19. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (25), $(\tilde{J},\hat{J})$ in setting $(i,j), 3 < i < k-1$.



FIGURE 20. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (26), $(\tilde{J},\hat{J})$ in setting $(i,j), 3 < i < k-1$.



FIGURE 21. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (27), $(\tilde{J},\hat{J})$ in setting $(i,j), 3<i<k-1$.

Proof. We prove this by doing a case by case analysis of the general cyclic permutation listed in (28).

$$(28)\qquad \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & i-1 & i & i+1 & i+2 & \cdots & j+1 & j+2 & j+3 & \cdots \\ \cdots & < \begin{matrix} j+2 \\ j+1 \end{matrix}, & j+4> & j+3 & \begin{matrix} j+1 \\ j+2 \end{matrix} & \cdots & \begin{matrix} i+1 \\ i \end{matrix} & < \begin{matrix} i \\ i+1 \end{matrix}, & i-1> & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

(1) If $f(i-1)=j+2 \Rightarrow f(i)=j+4, f(i+2)=j+1$
   (a) If $f(j+2)=i-1 \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{i-1,j+2\}$, a contradiction.
   (b) If $f(j+2)=i+1 \Rightarrow$ 4-suborbit $\{i-1,i+1,j+2,j+3\}$, a contradiction.
   (c) If $f(j+2)=i$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (24) with topological structure max-min-max, provided $i>3$. If $i=3$ then we have a second minimal $2k+1$-orbit (21) with topological structure *max* revealed in Lemma 3.5.

(2) If $f(i-1)=j+1 \Rightarrow f(i)=j+4, f(i+2)=j+2$
   (a) If $f(j+1)=i+1 \Rightarrow$ 4-suborbit $\{i-1,i+1,j+1,j+3\}$, a contradiction.
   (b) If $f(j+1)=i \Rightarrow f(j+3)\neq i+1$ or we have the closed 2-suborbit $\{i+1,j+3\}$. So we must have $f(j+3)=i-1 \Rightarrow f(j+2)=i+1$. Following the proof of the Lemma 3.2 it follows that for $2<i<k-1$ the digraph of the cyclic permutation contains a primitive subgraph

$$J_{r_1}\to J_{r_2}\to\cdots\to J_{r_{w-4}}\to\hat{J}\to\tilde{J}\to J_{r_{w+1}}\to\cdots\to J_{r_{2k-2}}\to J_{r_1}\to J_{r_1}$$

   and for $i=k-1$ the digraph of the cyclic permutation contains a primitive subgraph

$$J_{r_1}\to\hat{J}\to\tilde{J}\to J_{r_5}\to\cdots\to J_{r_{2k-2}}\to J_{r_1}$$

   both of which have length $2k-2$. By Lemma 2.3, a periodic orbit of period $2k-3$ must exist, which is a contradiction.
   (c) If $f(j+1)=i+1 \Rightarrow f(j+3)\neq i-1$or there is a period 4-suborbit $i-1,i+1$, $j+1,j+3$. Thus, $f(j+3)=i \Rightarrow f(j+2)=i-1$. By repeating the argument of the previous case we prove the existence of the $2k-3$-orbit, which is a contradiction.

(3) If $f(i)=j+2 \Rightarrow f(i-1)=j+4, f(i+2)=j+1$
   (a) If $f(j+2)=i \Rightarrow$ closed 2-suborbit $\{i,j+2\}$, a contradiction.
   (b) If $f(j+2)=i+1 \Rightarrow f(j+1)=i, f(j+3)=i-1$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (25) with topological structure max-min-max-min-max.
   (c) If $f(j+2)=i-1$ and $f(j+3)=i+1 \Rightarrow$ closed 2-suborbit $\{i+1,j+3\}$, a contradiction.

(d) If $f(j+2) = i-1, f(j+3) = i \Rightarrow f(j+1) = i+1$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (26) with topological structure max-min-max-min-max.

(4) If $f(i) = j+1 \Rightarrow f(i-1) = j+4, f(i+2) = j+2$
   (a) If $f(j+1) = i \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{i, j+1\}$, a contradiction.
   (b) If $f(j+1) = i+1$ and $f(j+3) = i \Rightarrow$ 4-suborbit $\{i, i+1, j+1, j+3\}$, a contradiction.
   (c) If $f(j+1) = i+1$ and $f(j+3) = i-1$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (27) with topological structure max-min-max, unless $i = 3$, in which case the topological structure is single max. When $i = k-1$, the cyclic permutation (27) repeats the cyclic permutation (8) revealed in Lemma 3.1.

Observe, as i varies between 3 and $k-1$, the structure of the digraphs associated with the cyclic permutations changes. In particular, for a given cyclic permutation, varying i from 3 to $k-1$ shifts the region of variations from the right to left ends of the digraph. We demonstrate this in fig. 22 through fig. 25. Note that in these subgraphs, with the exception of fig. 22a where $J_1 \nrightarrow J_{2k-1}, J_{2k}$, we have $J_1 \rightarrow J_{k+1}, \ldots, J_{2k}$.



(A) $i = 3$ (B) $3 < i < k-1$ (C) $i = k-1$

FIGURE 22. Portion with variations in digraphs of cyclic permutation eq. (24), $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ in setting $(i, j), 3 \le i \le k-1$.



(A) $i = 3$ (B) $3 < i < k-1$ (C) $i = k-1$

FIGURE 23. Portion with variations in digraphs of cyclic permutation eq. (25), $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ in setting $(i, j), 3 \le i \le k-1$.



(A) $i = 3$ (B) $3 < i < k-1$ (C) $i = k-1$

FIGURE 24. Portion with variations in digraphs of cyclic permutation eq. (26), $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ in setting $(i, j), 3 \le i \le k-1$.

Note that all four cyclic permutations are simple. Next we analyze the digraphs to show that there are no primitive cycles of even length $\le 2k-2$, which would imply by Straffin's lemma an existence of odd periodic orbit of length $\le 2k-3$. From Lemms 2.4 it



(A) $i = 3$ (B) $3 < i < k-1$ (C) $i = k-1$

FIGURE 25. Portion with variations in digraphs of cyclic permutation eq. (27), $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ in setting $(i, j), 3 \le i \le k-1$.

then follows that the the P-linearization of the orbits (24), (25), (26), and (27) present an example of continuous map with second minimal $(2k+1)$-orbit. The proof coincides with the similar proofs given in previous lemmas.

(1) Consider primitive cycles that contain J_1. Without loss of generality choose a starting vertex as J_1. First assume that cycle doesn't contain J_{k+1}. Due to presence of red edges any such cycle can be formed only by successfully adding to starting vertex J_1 pairs (J_p, J_q), where $q \in \{1, ..., k-1\}$, $p \in \{k+2, ..., 2k\}$. Therefore, the length of the cycle (by counting J_1 twice) will be always an odd number. On the contrary, if cycle contains J_{k+1}, then besides the new pair (J_{k+1}, J_k) or (J_{k+1}, J_{k-1}) there is a possibility to add just J_{k+1} alone due to loop at J_{k+1}, and hence to build a primitive subgraph of even length. However, the smallest required even length is $2k$, and therefore no odd orbits of period smaller than $2k-1$ can be produced.

(2) Consider primitive cycles that doesn't contain J_1. Since $J_1 \to J_{k+1}$ and $J_{k+1} \to J_{k+1}$ are only edges directed to J_{k+1}, we have to exclude J_{k+1} from the primitive cycle unless it is a loop at J_{k+1}. But then any primitive cycle formed by the remaining intervals can be formed by adding some of the indicated pairs (J_p, J_q) to starting vertex, and therefore all are of odd length.

□

Lemma 3.7. *Each setting* $(i, j+1)$ *with* $2 < i < k$ *and* $j = 2k - i, k > 3$ *produces exactly* 4 *second minimal cycles listed in cyclic permutations* (29)*,* (30)*,* (31)*, and* (32)*. Cyclic permutation* (30) *repeats* (26) *from Lemma 3.6. If* $i = 3$*,* (29) *repeats the cyclic permutation* (20)*, revealed in Lemma 3.5, and* (32) *repeats the cyclic permutation* (17)*, revealed in Lemma 3.4. The corresponding digraphs are presented in fig. 26, fig. 27, fig. 28, and fig. 29 respectively.*

$$(29) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i-1 & i & i+1 & \cdots & j+1 & j+2 & j+3 & j+4 & \cdots \\ k+1 & \cdots & j+3 & j+4 & j+2 & \cdots & i+1 & i-1 & i & i-2 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(30) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i-1 & i & i+1 & \cdots & j+1 & j+2 & j+3 & j+4 & \cdots \\ k+1 & \cdots & j+4 & j+2 & j+3 & \cdots & i+1 & i-1 & i & i-2 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(31) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i-1 & i & i+1 & \cdots & j+1 & j+2 & j+3 & j+4 & \cdots \\ k+1 & \cdots & j+4 & j+3 & j+2 & \cdots & i & i-1 & i+1 & i-2 & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(32)\qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & i-1 & i & i+1 & \cdots & j+1 & j+2 & j+3 & j+4 & \cdots \\ k+1 & \cdots & j+4 & j+3 & j+2 & \cdots & i+1 & i-1 & i-2 & i & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$



FIGURE 26. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (29), $(\tilde{J},\hat{J})$ in setting $(i, j+1), 3 < i < k-1$.



FIGURE 27. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (30), $(\tilde{J},\hat{J})$ in setting $(i, j+1), 3 < i < k-1$.



FIGURE 28. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (31), $(\tilde{J},\hat{J})$ in setting $(i, j+1), 3 < i < k-1$.



FIGURE 29. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (32), $(\tilde{J},\hat{J})$ in setting $(i, j+1), 3 < i < k-1$.

Proof. We prove this by doing a case by case analysis of the general cyclic permutation listed in (33).

$$(33)\qquad \begin{pmatrix} \cdots & i-1 & i & i+1 & \cdots & j+1 & j+2 & j+3 & j+4 & \cdots \\ \cdots & < \begin{matrix} j+2 \\ j+3 \end{matrix}, & j+4 > & \begin{matrix} j+3 \\ j+2 \end{matrix} & \cdots & \begin{matrix} i+1 \\ i \end{matrix} & i-1 & < \begin{matrix} i \\ i+1 \end{matrix}, & i-2 > & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$$

(1) If $f(i-1) = j+2 \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{i-1, j+2\}$, a contradiction.
(2) If $f(i-1) = j+3 \Rightarrow f(i) = j+4, f(i+1) = j+2$
   (a) If $f(j+3) = i \Rightarrow f(j+1) = i+1, f(j+4) = i-2$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (29) with topological structure max-min-max-min-max, if $i > 3$. If $i = 3$ then we have a second minimal $2k+1$-orbit (20) with topological structure max-min-max revealed in Lemma 3.5.
   (b) If $f(j+3) = i+1 \Rightarrow$ 4-suborbit $\{i-1, i+1, j+2, j+3\}$, a contradiction.
   (c) If $f(j+3) = i-2$ and $f(j+4) = i \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{i, j+4\}$, a contradiction.
   (d) If $f(j+3) = i-2$ and $f(j+4) = i+1 \Rightarrow f(j+1) = i$. Following the proof of the Lemma 3.2 it follows that for $2 < i < k-1$ the digraph of the cyclic permutation contains a primitive subgraph

$$J_{r_1} \to J_{r_2} \to \cdots \to J_{r_{w-3}} \to \tilde{J} \to \hat{J} \to J_{r_{w+2}} \to J_{r_{w+3}} \to \cdots \to J_{r_{2k-2}} \to J_{r_1} \to J_{r_1}$$

   and for $i = k-1$ the digraph of the cyclic permutation contains a primitive subgraph

$$J_{r_1} \to \tilde{J} \to \hat{J} \to J_{r_6} \to \cdots \to J_{r_{2k-2}} \to J_{r_1} \to J_{r_1}$$

   both of which have length $2k-2$. By Lemma 2.3, a periodic orbit of period $2k-3$ must exist, which is a contradiction.
(3) If $f(i) = j+2 \Rightarrow f(i-1) = j+4, f(i+1) = j+3$
   (a) If $f(j+3) = i \Rightarrow f(j+1) = i+1, f(j+4) = i-2$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (30) with topological structure max-min-max-min-max. Cyclic permutation (30) repeats (26) from Lemma 3.6.
   (b) If $f(j+3) = i+1 \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{i+1, j+3\}$, a contradiction.
   (c) If $f(j+3) = i-2$ and $f(j+4) = i+1 \Rightarrow f(j+1) = i$. This implies a cyclic permutation whose digraph contains primitive subgraph of length $2k-2$. The proof coincides with the proof given above in the case (2d). By Lemma 2.3, a periodic orbit of period $2k-3$ must exist, which is a contradiction.
   (d) If $f(j+3) = i-2$ and $f(j+4) = i \Rightarrow f(j+1) = i+1 \Rightarrow$ 4-suborbit $\{i, i-1, j+2, j+4\}$, a contradiction.
(4) If $f(i) = j+3 \Rightarrow f(i-1) = j+4, f(i+1) = j+2$
   (a) If $f(j+3) = i \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{i, j+3\}$, a contradiction.
   (b) If $f(j+3) = i+1 \Rightarrow f(j+1) = i, f(j+4) = i-2$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (31) with topological structure max-min-max.
   (c) If $f(j+3) = i-2$ and $f(j+4) = i+1 \Rightarrow$ 4-suborbit $\{i-1, i+1, j+2, j+4\}$, a contradiction.
   (d) If $f(j+3) = i-2$ and $f(j+4) = i \Rightarrow f(j+1) = i+1$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (32) with topological structure max-min-max, if $i > 3$. If $i = 3$ then we have a second minimal $2k+1$-orbit (17) with topological structure max-min revealed in Lemma 3.4.

As i varies between 3 and $k-1$, the structure of the digraphs associated with the cyclic permutations changes. In particular, for a given cyclic permutation, varying i from 3 to $k-1$ shifts the region of variations from the right to left ends of the digraph. We demonstrate this in fig. 30 through fig. 33. Observe that fig. 24 and fig. 31 are identical. Note that in these subgraphs, with the exception of fig. 30a where $J_1 \nrightarrow J_{2k}$, we have $J_1 \to J_{k+1}, \ldots, J_{2k}$.

Note that all four cyclic permutations are simple. Finally, we aim to analyze the digraphs and demonstrate that there are no primitive cycles of even length $\leq 2k-2$, which would



(A) $i = 3$ (B) $3 < i < k-1$ (C) $i = k-1$

FIGURE 30. Portion with variations in digraphs of cyclic permutation eq. (29), $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ in setting $(i, j), 3 \le i \le k-1$.



(A) $i = 3$ (B) $3 < i < k-1$ (C) $i = k-1$

FIGURE 31. Portion with variations in digraphs of cyclic permutation eq. (30), $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ in setting $(i, j), 3 \le i \le k-1$.



(A) $i = 3$ (B) $3 < i < k-1$ (C) $i = k-1$

FIGURE 32. Portion with variations in digraphs of cyclic permutation eq. (31), $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ in setting $(i, j), 3 \le i \le k-1$.



(A) $i = 3$ (B) $3 < i < k-1$ (C) $i = k-1$

FIGURE 33. Portion with variations in digraphs of cyclic permutation eq. (32), $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ in setting $(i, j), 3 \le i \le k-1$.

imply by Straffin's lemma an existence of odd periodic orbit of length $\le 2k-3$. From Lemms 2.4 it then follows that the the P-linearization of the orbits (29), (30), (31), and (32) present an example of continuous map with second minimal $(2k+1)$-orbit. The proof coincides with the similar proof given in Lemma 3.6.

□

Lemma 3.8. *Placing* $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ *in relative positions* $(k, k+1)$ *produces exactly* 4 *second minimal cycles listed in cyclic permutations* (34), (35), (36), *and* (37). *The corresponding digraphs are presented in fig. 34, fig. 35, fig. 36, and fig. 37 respectively.*

$$(34)\quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & k-1 & k & k+1 & k+2 & k+3 & k+4 & \cdots & 2k+1 \\ k+1 & 2k+1 & \cdots & k+4 & k+2 & k+3 & k-1 & k & k-2 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(35)
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & k-1 & k & k+1 & k+2 & k+3 & k+4 & k+5 & \cdots & 2k+1 \\ k+1 & 2k+1 & \cdots & k+4 & k+3 & k+2 & k-1 & k-2 & k & k-3 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(36)
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & k-1 & k & k+1 & k+2 & k+3 & k+4 & \cdots & 2k+1 \\ k & 2k+1 & \cdots & k+4 & k+3 & k+2 & k-1 & k+1 & k-2 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

(37)
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & k-1 & k & k+1 & k+2 & k+3 & k+4 & \cdots & 2k+1 \\ k+1 & 2k+1 & \cdots & k+3 & k+4 & k+2 & k-1 & k & k-2 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$



FIGURE 34. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (34), $(\tilde{J},\hat{J})$ in setting $(k,k+1)$.



FIGURE 35. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (35), $(\tilde{J},\hat{J})$ in setting $(k,k+1)$.



FIGURE 36. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (36), $(\tilde{J},\hat{J})$ in setting $(k,k+1)$.



FIGURE 37. Digraph of cyclic permutation eq. (37), $(\tilde{J}, \hat{J})$ in setting $(k, k+1)$.

Proof. We prove this by doing a case by case analysis of the general cyclic permutation listed in (33). Note that in the frame of notation introduced in the proof of Lemma 3.2 we have $w = 2$;

$$(38) \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & k-1 & k & k+1 & k+2 & k+3 & k+4 & \cdots \\ k+1 & \cdots & < k+4, & \begin{matrix} k+3 \\ k+2 \end{matrix} > & \begin{matrix} k+2 \\ k+3 \end{matrix} & k-1 & < k-2 & \begin{matrix} k \\ k+1 \end{matrix} > & \cdots \\ k & & & & & & & & \end{pmatrix}$$

(1) If $f(k) = k+2 \Rightarrow f(k-1) = k+4, f(k+1) = k+3$
   (a) If $f(k+4) = k \Rightarrow f(1) = k+1, f(k+3) = k-2 \Rightarrow$ 4-suborbit $\{k-1, k, k+2, k+4\}$, a contradiction.
   (b) If $f(k+4) = k+1 \Rightarrow f(1) = k, f(k+3) = k-2$, then for $k > 3$ we have the primitive subgraph
   $$\tilde{J} \to \hat{J} \to J_{r_4} \to \cdots \to J_{r_{2k-2}} \to \tilde{J}$$
   of length $2k-2$. Lemma 2.3 implies the existence of $2k-3$-orbit, which is a contradiction.
   (c) If $f(k+4) = k-2$ and $f(k+3) = k$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (34) with topological structure max-min-max-min-max.
   (d) If $f(k+4) = k-2$ and $f(k+3) = k+1 \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{k+1, k+3\}$, a contradiction.

(2) If $f(k) = k+3 \Rightarrow f(k-1) = k+4, f(k+1) = k+2$
   (a) If $f(k+4) = k \Rightarrow f(1) = k+1, f(k+3) = k-2$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (35) with topological structure max-min-max.
   (b) If $f(k+4) = k+1 \Rightarrow f(1) = k, f(k+3) = k-2 \Rightarrow$ 4-suborbit $\{k-1, k+1, k+2, k+4\}$, a contradiction.
   (c) If $f(k+4) = k-2$ and $f(k+3) = k \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{k, k+3\}$, a contradiction.
   (d) If $f(k+4) = k-2$ and $f(k+3) = k+1 \Rightarrow f(1) = k$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (36) with topological structure max-min-max.

(3) If $f(k-1) = k+2 \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{k-1, k+2\}$, a contradiction.

(4) If $f(k-1) = k+3 \Rightarrow f(k) = k+4, f(k+1) = k+2$
   (a) If $f(k+4) = k \Rightarrow$ 2-suborbit $\{k, k+4\}$, a contradiction.
   (b) If $f(k+4) = k+1 \Rightarrow f(1) = k, f(k+3) = k-2$, then for $k > 3$ we have the primitive subgraph
   $$\tilde{J} \to \hat{J} \to J_{r_4} \to \cdots \to J_{r_{2k-2}} \to \tilde{J}$$
   of length $2k-2$ which leads to contradiction as in case (1b).
   (c) If $f(k+4) = k-2$ and $f(k+3) = k \Rightarrow f(1) = k+1$, we have a second minimal $(2k+1)$ orbit given in (37) with topological structure max-min-max-min-max.



FIGURE 38. The cyclic permutation sharing mechanism for settings $(i,2k-i)$ and $(i,2k-i+1)$. Red arrows indicate cyclic permutations originating at a node and blue arrows indicate cyclic permutations shared from above.

(d) If $f(k+4)=k-2$ and $f(k+3)=k+1 \Rightarrow$ 4-suborbit $\{k-1,k+1,k+2,k+3\}$, a contradiction.

Note that all four cyclic permutations are simple. Finally, we aim to analyze the digraphs and demonstrate that there are no primitive cycles of even length $\leq 2k-2$, which would imply by Straffin's lemma an existence of odd periodic orbit of length $\leq 2k-3$. From Lemma 2.4 it then follows that the the P-linearization of the orbits (34), (35), (36), and (37) present an example of continuous map with second minimal $(2k+1)$-orbit. The proof coincides with the similar proof given in Lemma 3.6. □

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\tilde{J},\hat{J}$ be in setting $(i,2k-i)$ for $2<i<k$. For fixed i, this setting shares one cyclic permutation with the setting $(i-1,2k-i+1)$ and another cyclic permutation with the setting $(i,2k-i+1)$. When $i=k-1$, the setting $(k-1,k+1)$ shares a cyclic permutation with the case when $m=2k-1$ from Lemma 3.1.*

Proof. The proof is by direct comparison. Note that if $i>3$ the cyclic permutation (27) is transformed to (24) after substitution (i,j) with $(i-1,j+1)$. If $i=3$, (24) repeats the cyclic permutation (21). Therefore, the setting $(i,2k-i)$ shares one cyclic permutation with $(i-1,2k-i+1)$. We can also see that the cyclic permutations (26) and (30) are identical. So the setting $(i,2k-i)$ also shares a cyclic permutation with the setting $(i,2k-i+1)$. When $i=k-1$, the cyclic permutation (27) and (8) are identical.

□

Lemma 3.10. *Let $\tilde{J},\hat{J}$ be in setting $(i,2k-i+1)$ for $3\leq i\leq k$. For fixed i, this setting shares one cyclic permutation with the setting $(i-1,2k-i+1)$ and another cyclic permutation with the setting $(i-1,2k-i+2)$.*

Proof. The proof is once again by direct comparison. If $3<i<k$, the substitution (i,j) with $(i-1,j+1)$ in (25) implies the cyclic permutation (29) from Lemma 3.7. If $i=3$, (29) repeats the cyclic permutation (20), revealed in Lemma 3.5. If $i=k$, choose $i=k-1$ in the cyclic permutation (25) and observe that it is identical to (37).This proves sharing with setting $(i-1,2k-i+1)$. Similarly, if $i>3$ the substitution (i,j) with $(i-1,j+1)$ cyclic permutation (31) is transformed to the cyclic permutation (32). If $i=3$ (32) repeats the cyclic permutation (17), revealed

Topological Structure	Count	Permutation
max	1	(21)
min-max	1	(13)
min-max-min	1	(12)
max-min	2	(16),(17)
max-min-max	$2k-3$	(9),(20),(36),((24),(27)),((31),(32))
max-min-max-min-max	$2k-5$	(34), ((25),(29)),((26),(30))

TABLE 2. Counts for topological structure of second minimal odd periodic orbits. Green entries correspond to permutations in settings $(i,2k-i),(i,2k-i+1)$ for $2<i<k$ with $k>3$. Parentheses indicate permutations that may be shared.

in Lemma 3.4. If $i=k$, then by choosing $i=k-1$ in (31) we see that it is identical to (35). This confirms sharing with the setting $(i-1,2k-i+2)$. □



FIGURE 39. Demonstration of counting of distinct cyclic permutations per setting; here indicated with red numbers

The sharing mechanism provided in Lemma 3.9 and Lemma 3.10 is illustrated in fig. 38. Each setting $(i,2k-i)$ and $(i,2k-i+1),2<i<k$, $(k,k+1)$ contain exactly 4 second minimal cyclic permutations, which are shared with neighboring settings. In particular, for setting $(i,2k-i)$, two cyclic permutations are inherited from its two neighbors immediately to the right, and other two are shared with neighbors immediately down. Observe, that we have also demonstrated the sharing extending to the cases $(1,2k-1)$, $(2,2k-1)$, $(2,2k-2)$, and $(k,k+1)$. To count all the different second minimal cyclic permutations we start in the upper right corner $(1,2k-1)$ of the table in fig. 39 and work our way down to the bottom left corner $(k,k+1)$ by successively moving down and left. Due to sharing mechanism, the number of new second minimal cyclic permutations produced in each setting is equal to 2 (written as a superscript to the setting). There are $k-1$ columns, each with 4 distinct cycles giving a total of $4(k-1)$ distinct cyclic permutations. Adding the 1 remaining permutation from Lemma fig. 5 we have the required number, $4k-3$, of second minimal cyclic permutations of period $2k+1$, unique up to an inverse. They are all simple positive type according to the Definition 1. All the inverse cyclic

permutations of the constructed $4k-3$ orbits constitute all simple negative type second minimal $2k+1$-orbits. Finally, we count the different types of topological structure of all the $4k-3$ positive type second minimal orbits and present the results in Table 2. The inverse cyclic permutations have the same topological structures with "max" and "min" exchanged.

□

Acknowledgement

This research was funded by National Science Foundation: grant #1359074–REU Site: Partial Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems at Florida Institute of Technology (Principal Investigator Professor Ugur G. Abdulla).

References

[1] A.U. Abdulla, R.U. Abdulla, and U.G. Abdulla. On the minimal $2(2k+1)$-orbits of the continuous endomorphisms on the real line with application in chaos theory. *Journal of Difference Equations and Applications*, 19(9):1395–1416, 2013.

[2] U.G. Abdulla, R.U. Abdulla, M.U. Abdulla, and N.H. Iqbal. Second minimal orbits, sharkovski ordering and universality in chaos. *submitted to SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems*, 2016, Arxiv:1610.00814.

[3] L. Alseda, J. Llibre, and R. Serra. Minimal periodic orbits for continuous maps of the interval. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 286(2):595–627, 1984.

[4] L.S. Block and W. A. Coppel. *Dynamics in One Dimension*. Springer-Verlag, 1992.

[5] L.S. Block and W.A. Coppel. Stratification of continuous maps of an interval. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 297(2):587–604, 1986.

[6] L.S. Block, J. Guckenhimer, M. Misiurewicz, and L.S. Young. Periodic points and topological entropy of one dimensional maps. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Global Theory of Dynamical Systems*, pages 18–34, 1979.

[7] A.N. Sharkovsky. Coexistence of cycles of a continuous transofrmation of a line into itself. *Ukrains'kii Mathematical Zhurnal*, 16(1):61–71, 1964.

[8] P. Stefan. A theorem of Sharkovskii on the existence of periodic orbits of continuous endomorphisms of the real line. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 54(3):237–248, 1977.

[9] P. D. Straffin. Periodic points of continuous functions. *Mathematics Magazine*, 51(2):99–105, 1978.

Department of Mathematics, Florida Institute of Technology, Melbourne, FL 32901
E-mail address: abdulla@fit.edu